

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/509,586	<b>Applicant(s)</b> NITTEL ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Helene Klemanski	<b>Art Unit</b> 1755	

**All Participants:**

(1) Helene Klemanski.

(2) Mr. James R. Crawford.

**Status of Application:** pending

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 18 June 2007

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

*40, 51 and 52*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicants agreed to the changes set forth in the Exam Amdt to overcome a 112, second para, rej on claims 48-53 and to provide antecedent basis for iron in claims 51 and 52.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)